G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 48-188

191 .

Serial Nos. 1-5

(Land Revenue)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 25

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Management of Yachamanahalli Attikuppa
taluk taken under Govt to facilitate the recovery
of contributions towards the maintainance of the
Hemagiri channel

Entered
H.S.

W D 68—GPB—60,000—21.7.18

Previous references.
Chief Comrs Pro No 6738/79 d/the 2.3.1867

✓
1888
190

File No. 48
of 1888-89
Serial Nos. 1-5

(~~Revenue~~ Land Revenue)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Management of Yachamanahalli, Attikuppa Taluk taken under Govt to facilitate the recovery of Contributions towards the maintenance of the Hemagiri Channel.

Indexed

1. To the D.C. Mysore C. 1372 d/the 7-10-88
   Requests the ~~forwarded~~ submission of a statement of Rev: of the Yachamanahalli village, the amount spent out of it yearly for repairs of the ~~Chan~~ Hemagiri Channel and the balance if any now in hand and also if there is any question now pending about the payment of the balance to the inamdars concerned.
2. From the D.C. Mysore No 530 d/the ~~20.10.88~~
   ~~Forwards~~ the French Rocks Sub-Division Officer's letter No 523 d/the 22nd Ultimo as a reply to the above
3. To the Chief Engineer No 6391/91 d/the 15.11.88
   Requests the submission of a statement showing the actual amount spent yearly on the repairs of the Hemagiri Channel from the year 1867-68 to 1887-88
4. G. R. S. 58 From the Chief Engineer No 5237 d/the 14.12.88
   In reply to the above forwards ~~a copy~~ of the P.W.D. Examiner's letter to him No 3170/6042 d/the 13.12.88

P. T. O.

To The D.C. Mysore &c, the Chief Engineer, The comptroller, and the other D.C.s No 3793-3802 dt 17.7.90

Reviews the report forwarded with Docket 2nd from the D.C Mysore dt the 26.10.87 and calls for an explanation as to whether by the authority under which the sum of Rs 4614 was paid to the inamdars. Also directs that no payment should in future be made to the inam without the sanction of the Govt and also requests the Chief Engineer explain how much of the sum of Rs 10.695 contributed for repairs has been actually expended and how much if any still remains on hand; Also directs that the omission in not sending the annual receipts and expenditures should in future be guarded against, and that the management of Inam villages being an important trust, the receipts should be credited to "Private Estates under Govt management" and no expenditure other than ordinary should be incurred without the Govt sanction.

Read:-

Docket No. 530 dated 26th October 1889, from the Deputy Commr, Mysore District, submitting a report No. 523 dated 22nd September 1889 from the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, regarding Yachamanhalli Inam village in the Attikuppa Taluk.

(Order thereon:-

This correspondence originated on a suggestion in the P. W. D. that the management of the Hemagiri Channel may be transferred to the Inamdars of the villages irrigated by it, the reason for such transfer being that the greater part (98 per cent) of the area irrigated by it belongs to Inamdars.

2. After the experiment in the case of the Kalhalli Channel, the Govt Dewan is satisfied that its Government withdrawal from the management of the Hemagiri Channel is inexpedient. One reason is that such

I am sorry I interfered with the draft - I did so under the impression that we were to settle whether Hemagiri channel and controls to be managed by Govt or not - but I see that that point has been already settled by the Dewan, & we have now simply to arrange the admn of Yachamanhalli - Please see a modified draft put up. -

M. 16/7/90

may
withdrawal ~~would~~ lead
to obstruction (~~unjustly~~
justly of course) to
any possible future
extension of the chan-
nel by Government.
Another reason ~~will~~ is
~~to be found in the fact~~
that if Government
withdrew, the manage-
ment will have to
vest in ~~the several~~ a number of the Inamdars
~~Inamdars Concerned~~
~~land, or management by~~
~~several should lead~~
~~to very~~ unsatisfactory
~~results~~ and ~~prove~~
detrimental to all
interests concerned. The
Hemagiri Channel ~~has~~ served
~~therefore better~~ continue
to be under Government
management as it has
so long been.

incapable of combined action & ~~the~~ the result is seen to be

Government decide ~~to~~ that

3. The Hemagiri
Channel irrigates the
ten Inam villages
named in the margin*
of which the first
seven belong to the
group of 13 villages
which form the
endowment to Dévamba
Agrahara. The

1. Bonhalli
2. Thermenhalli
3. Karmenhalli
4. Melhalli
5. Yachenahalli
6. Madavankodi
7. Yachamanhalli
8. Harihanpura
9. Bommènahalli
10. Lakshmipura

endowment

endowment is in the enjoyment of numerous villidars and as difficulty was experienced in collecting the contributions from them on account of the Yachamanhalli village, and as this village was sequestered by them and constituted "Maddilla" (Common property) probably for various purposes of which the payment of the Quota due for Channel Contribution is one, it was found expedient to take this village under Govt management, and this was accordingly ordered in Letter No. 678 dated 2nd April 1867 from the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of the time to the Commissioner, Ashtagram Division. Contributions towards the repairs of the Channel have thus to be collected ~~year by year from the~~ The Inamdars of Harihurpur Bommeynhalli & Lakshmipura, ~~and from the revenues of Yachamanhalli in Government hands.~~

^ but the contribution for the channel repairs was to be taken from the collections of Yalamanhalli village alone (that is now under Govt management) it was set apart for several common purposes

Inamdars to pay their quota of contribution

4 - In connection with the subject the Govt. ~~Agent~~ wish to draw the attention of the Dy Comr to the ~~Coimbatore~~ Army

~~4~~ The management of the Yachanduhalli village is ~~also~~ an important trust ~~to which however sufficient attention does~~ not seem to have been bestowed. It was necessary to have supervised~~d~~ the income and expenditure ~~of the village~~ in the same manner as such Concerns in Government villages are supervised. The revenue should ~~have been~~ be settled by annual jamabandy, and expenditure incurred by proper sanctions. ~~4~~ In the file of Vernacular papers sent by the Sub Division Officer, there is an order No. 312/313 dated 25th June 1889 which the Sub Division Officer has addressed to the Amildar of the Attikuppa Taluk, but which does not seem to have been issued, calling upon the Amildar to explain certain items of expenditure such as those given in the margin and to state the authority on which the expenditure was incurred. If

The management of an Inamdoreage

is

*

455-15-8	On account of the Car festival of Hemagiri Venkataramanaswami
404-6-4	Returned to Inamdar
1960-4-7	Water-rate & Local Fund
" -10-5	Sayer roo-soom.
63-"-4	Patels pay
223-13-1	Talawars' pay
208-1-2	Shanbogues pay
2389-4-7	To Agent Venkaramanaya
15-13-7	To Shanbogue for Sadilwar
6-"-"	To Toti
58-14-7	On account of Poti Palaki

is unsatisfactory that there should have been occasion for this and that the statement which was directed to be submitted to the Chief Commissioner's Office annually, does not appear to have been submitted. The Dewan desires that the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District will have the accounts of the income and expenditure of this village from the year of its assumption by Government thoroughly overhauled and a report submitted at the end of the current official year (1889-90). ~~The account is due to the Inamdars of the village and their Agent has in effect asked for it.~~ The accounts should show how far the amount of Rs 2962-8-4 which is the balance stated to be in deposit at the credit of the village

why? Have we dispensed them in the correspondence. MSK

+ I think we may write for it. MSK

+

village is correct. A copy of the document by which the Devasthanam Agraharam has been sequestered this village as Maddika should be obtained and forwarded.

Government take this opportunity to direct the special attention of Deputy Commissioners to the importance of systematic supervision of the affairs of Inam villages under Govt management. These villages are important trusts. The land revenue ~~[illegible]~~ and all other sources of income should be carefully settled on the same principles as those of Government villages are settled. The receipts should be credited in the accounts to a separate head ~~under~~ "Private estates under Government management" under "Public debts" or such other convenient

way

A statement of the income & cultivation of the village should be fwd & a

+ Never nothing more than received.

way as the Comptroller might suggest - No expenditure should be incurred without Govt. sanction, such sanction being obtained once for all in the case of fixed and recurring charges, and as occasion requires in others - The practice of keeping the receipts in deposits in the names under the control and disposal of Taluk Officers is objectionable - With the monthly D.C.B accounts an account in abstract should be submitted to the Dewan's Office for private estates under Government management showing by Taluks the total Demand outstanding the Collections and remissions made and the balance outstanding in each month. Deputy Commissioners should receive similar returns by estates from each Taluk.

In their annual administration reports, a

Summary. a Statement once a year would - Even in Madras we do not go - months apart.

68

a paragraph should be devoted to this subject wherein the main points relating to the trials should be reviewed

Chief Secretary

Draft submitted for the Dewan's approval

To.
The Secretary D.O.W.
The Dy Comm^r of Mysore Dt
The Dy Commissioners of other Districts for information & guidance

1517

Please see a note, written, & a revised draft put up.

16/7/20

Serial 1

Session: LR
File No 48-88
Serial No 1

The Deputy Commissioner
Mypore District

Kurunthi Lane Kg 5 30 Acres

Camp Kg 1372

9th October 1888

Sir,

Under letter No: 6737/79 dt 2nd April 1887, from the Secretary to the late Chief Commissioner to the Superintendent of the Attagram Division, the Lucam Village of Yeechamanahulli in the Attipuppa Taluk, was retained under Government management towards meeting the cost of repairs to the Hemagiri Channel, in a ready manner. I am now desired to request you to submit a statement shewing the Revenue of the said Village of Yeechamanahulli the amount spent out of it, yearly for repairs of the channel and the balance, if any, now

now in the hands of Govern-
ment. You are further re-
quested to report whether
there is now pending any
question for the payment of
the balance to the Inamdars
Concerned, the names of the
Inamdars entitled to it and
whether the Village has been
Surveyed.

Draft-

Chief Secretary

Dft'd on Dewan's
Order:

Serial 2

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
5831
30.OCT.89
673

1889.

Section LR

File No. Surveys & Inspections

Serial No. Mysore Dist. Govt.

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 28th October 1889.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. file & statement

General No. 5430.

No.

Adverting to your letter No 1372, dated 2nd September 1888, requesting the submission of a statement shewing the revenue of Cavacharamahalli Inam Village, in the Attikuppa Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith, in original, French Rock Sub Division Officer's letter No: 523, dated 22nd Ultimo, together with its enclosures, and to request that they may

may be obligingly returned
with orders.

P. Grant Rann

Deputy Commissioner

Compd
W. Moore

Wayne Dorbert

Read No: 8

(General Serial No. 29.) R 0375

1889. 10.89

Section From LR

File No 48

Serial No 3

To

SUB DIVISION OFFICE, FRENCH ROCKS
MYSORE
DISTRICT

The Chief Secretary to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District.

Dated

Despatched 23rd September 1889.

Received

Enclosures No. 4 statements & Canarese file.

General No. } 1889-90.
No. 523

Submits the information called for in connection with the village of Yachamanhalli in the Attikuppa Taluk.

vide order inside.

24/9/89

SUB DIVISION OFFICE, FRENCH ROCKS
MYSORE
DISTRICT

No. 523.

23d. September 1889.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir,

Adverting to your No. 385 dated — October 1888, forwarding copy of Chief Secretary's letter No. 1372 of 22d. September 1888, calling for a statement shewing the revenue of the village of Yachamanhalli, the amount spent out of it yearly for the repairs of the channel and the balance, if any, now in the hands of Government, &c., I have the honor to submit herewith the statement called for, which shews only the amount credited in the accounts towards the repairs of the channel out of the revenue of the village yearly; but not the amount spent, which information has to be obtained from the Public Works Department. I also beg to submit two other statements shewing in detail the revenue of the village (Kaberas) from the year 1866-67

to 1887-88 and the expenditure incurred on account of temple rehabilitation, &c., and the amount credited in the accounts towards the repairs of the channel.

2. The repairs required to the channel would appear to have been executed by the Channel Department from the year 1867-68, the cost thereof being met from the revenues of the village in question and from contributions obtained from the Inamdars of Haricharpur, Bommenahalli and Lakshampura whose lands are also benefited by this channel. The actual amount spent on the repairs of the channel yearly is not ascertainable from the Taluk records and the Executive Engineer was requested in his Office No. 121 dated 26th May 1888 to furnish information on the subject, but it has not yet been furnished.

3. The Amildar reports that no reference is forthcoming in the Taluk records to show that the Inamdars ever claimed the restoration of the village to them or the up-keep of the channel by them. In 1882, the agent appointed by the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan, on behalf of the Inamdars,

claimed the distribution of the unexpended balance to the Inamdars concerned, but the question still remains undisposed of.

4. The records on the subject show that an account of the receipts and expenditure for the village in question had been ordered to be kept and an yearly statement furnished to the Chief Commissioner's Office. This however does not appear to have been done.

5. The village of Gachamanhalli is the Maddaka village among the 13 marginally noted Inam villages forming the endowment of Dévamba Agrahar. The endowment is reported to have been originally made for the benefit of 60 vattidars under the terms of the sanad granted to them. But according to the Quit-rent register received from the Inam Department, there are 156 vattidars a list of whose names is herein enclosed.

1. Basavanhalli
2. Madavan Kodi
3. Gachénahalli.
4. Vélhalli.
5. Karanénahalli
6. Daddahalli
7. Arachavalalu
8. Téranénahalli.
9. Inabhalli.
10. Alambadi.
11. Bandhalé.
12. Tédagarhalli.
13. Gachamanahalli

6. The village was surveyed by the Revenue Survey Department in detail in the year 1886-87.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obed. servant.

Tahsildar
Sub-Division

Statement shewing the Demand, collection, & expenditure 1866-67 to 1887-88.

No.	Years	Name of year.	Demand			collection			Amount of Expenditure shewn in the Accounts on account of								
									Repairs to Channels			other items			Total		
1	1866-67	Akshaya	38	11	6	38	11	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	1867-68	Prubhava	347	14	9	347	14	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	1868-69	Vibhava	347	14	9	347	14	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	1869-70	Shukla	874	8	11	874	8	11	1015	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	1870-71	Pramoduta.	885	11	1	881	14	8	461	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	1871-72	Prajotpati	1120	9	9	1095	15	3	963	13	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	1872-73	Angeerasa.	965	1	4	958	7	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	1873-74	Sreemukha.	969	6	2	957	4	10	718	3	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	1874-75	Bhava.	959	9	2	950	6	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	1875-76	Yuva.	999	12	7	963	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	1876-77	Dhatu.	1031	1	2	979	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	1877-78	Eswara.	1031	1	7	979	3	5	1230	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	1878-79	Bahudhanya	1064	14	4	1013	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	1879-80	Pramathi	1074	7	7	1021	1	2	261	6	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	1880-81	Vikrama	1113	1	1	1056	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	1881-82	Vishu.	1079	10	9	1012	7	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	1882-83	Chithrabhanu	1080	"	"	1053	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	1883-84	Swabhanu	1046	10	9	996	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	1884-85	Tarana.	1046	10	9	991	5	4	1116	4	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	1885-86	Parthiva	1048	15	"	933	15	3	4929	9	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	1886-87	Vyaya.	1048	15	"	868	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	1887-88	Sarvajitu	2022	3	6	1125	7	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		Total Rs.	21,196	15	6	19,446	10	9	10,695	14	1	5786	4	4	16,482	2	5

SUB MAJOR OFFICE FRENCH ROCKS MYSORE DISTRICT

... and balance of the Village of Yachamanahalli, from the year

Balance remaining		Remarks.
964	8 4	For details of receipts and the expenditure vide statements Numbers 2 and 3.

Thiruvannamalai
Sub Division Officer
French Rocks.

Statement Shewing the demand, collection &c. of Mauzah Village of Kenaram in Pargana Lucknow for the year 1886-87.

No.	Year	Name of Asami	Demand: Due from last year			Wahir			Gaon Sayar			Amani			Kankiejari			Mahalkari		
1	1866-67	Khekuya	38	11	6.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	1867-68	Debkuna	303	6	6	29	13	0.	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	2	5	3.
	1868-69	Debkuna	303	6	6.	29	13	4.	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	2	5	3.
4	1869-70	Shukla	816	10	9.	40.	10	11	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	2	5	3.
5	1870-71	Ramodhar	827	11	5.	40	10	11	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	2	5	3.
6	1871-72	Rajakpathi	1062	7	7.	40	10	11	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	2	5	3.
7	1872-73	Anjorsa	879	8	4.	40	10	11	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	6	13	4
	1873-74.	Jeemaoka	885	11	2	40	10	11	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	6	13	4
9	1874-75	Bhana	881	1	2	40	10	11	"	"	"	3	2	"	"	"	"	6	13	4
10	1875-76	Jeena	918	2	7.	40	10	11	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	6	13	4
11	1876-77	Dhatu	951	6	10.	40	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	13	4
12	1877-78	Ramana	951	5	11	40	10	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	14	8
13	1878-79	Bachchanya	971	8	8.	40	10	11.	"	"	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	11	"	
14	1879-80	Ramathi	972	"	8.	40.	11	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	
15	1880-81	Vikrama	972	"	3.	40	14	4.	"	"	"	5	"	"	26	"	"	8	"	"
16	1881-82	Vishu	972	"	3.	40	14	4.	"	"	"	4	10	"	"	"	"	1	"	"
17	1882-83.	Chitrabhanu	975	2	10	38.	14	11	1	2	"	4	12	"	"	"	"	1	"	"
18	1883-84	Lachhanu	942	6	8	39	3	5	"	"	"	4	12	"	"	"	"	1	"	"
19	1884-85	Jamuna	942	6	8	39	3	5	"	"	"	4	12	"	"	"	"	1	"	"
20	1885-86	Purkhina	942	6	8	39	3	5	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"
21	1886-87	Bijuya	942	6	8	39	3	5	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"
22	1887-88.	Lachhajitu	1895	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"
			19347	13	7.	784	11	4.	1	2	"	124	12	"	26	"	"	89	11	7

...ion and balance of the Revenue of Madikyala and ... village was brought under the management of Government in 18...

Recovered			Year			Collection uncollected & fully collected			Balance. Balance not to be collected			Remarks.
"	"	"	38.	11	6	38	11	6	"	"	"	
2	6	"	347.	14	4	347	14	4	"	"	"	
2	6	"	347.	14	4	347	14	4	"	"	"	
4	14	"	874	8	11	874	8	11	"	"	"	
4	5	6	885	11	1	881	14	8.	3.	12	5	
5	2	"	1120.	9	9	1095	15	3.	24	10	6.	
28	"	7	765	1	4	758	7	4.	6	10	"	
25	2	9.	969	6	2	957	4	10	12	1	4	
27	13	9	959	9	2	950	6	6	9	2	8	
29	1	9	999	12	7	963	5	9	36	6	10	
30	2	1	1031	1	2	979	3	"	51	14	2	
30	2	1	1031	1	7	979	3	5.	51	14	2	
31	2	9	1064	14	4	1013	"	2	51	14	2	
60	12	"	1074	7	7	1021	1	2.	53	6	9	
61	2	6.	1113	1	1	1056	10	8	56	6	9	
61	2	2	1079	10	9	1012	7	9	67	3	"	
59	"	3.	1080	"	"	1053	9	"	26	7	"	
59	4	8	1046	10	9	996	1	5.	50	9	4	
59	4	8.	1046	10	9	991	5	4	55	5	5	
59	4	11	1048	15	"	933	15	3.	114	15	9	
59	4	11	1048	15	"	868	2	3.	180	12	9	
119	3	6.	2022	3	6	1125	7	1.	896	12	5	
822	13	"	21,196.	15	6.	19,446	10	9.	1750	4	9.	

Note. Cost of Rs. 1750-4-9 Shewn as the outstanding balance, Rs 256-4-9 is irrecoverable and has to be recommended for remission

258-3-2 is the amount for which Coercive measures have been adopted.

1235-12-10 is the amount for which Notices have been issued

Remarks

Sub Division Officer
Jeypore

Abstract statement shewing the expenditure incurred each of the revenues of Y

No.	Year.	Name of year.	Amount credited in the accounts to Government on account of			Pay of agent.	Pay of Shanbogue.	Pay of Patel.	Pay of Talaris.	Salary allowance paid to Village Officials.	Cost of Establishment of Revenue Commissioner.	Cost of Survey Settlement.	Paid to Sharcha
			Water rate.	Local cess.	Total.								
1	1866-67	Akshaya	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	1867-68	Prabhava	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16 15 8	"	"
3	1868-69	Vibhava	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
4	1869-70	Shukla	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	104 6
5	1870-71	Pramadata	29 13 "	50 9 5	80 6 5	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
6	1871-72	Prajotpatti	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	"	"	"	"	8	16	"	"
7	1872-73	Angeresa	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
8	1873-74	Srimukha	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
9	1874-75	Bhava	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
10	1875-76	Yuva	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	169 4 7	1 7 8	" " "	18 " "	2 5	16	"	"
11	1876-77	Dhatu	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	180 " "	17 7 4	" 15 4	18 " "	" " "	16	"	"
12	1877-78	Isvara	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	16	"	"
13	1878-79	Bahudhanya	29 13 "	53 1 2	82 14 2	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	16	"	"
14	1879-80	Pramathi	29 13 "	106 2 4	135 15 4	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	16	"	"
15	1880-81	Vikrama	29 13 "	106 2 4	135 15 4	180 " "	17 7 4	5 12 1	18 " "	" " "	30 14	"	"
16	1881-82	Vrisha	29 13 "	106 2 4	135 15 4	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	30 14	"	"
17	1882-83	Chitrabhanu	29 13 "	106 2 4	135 15 4	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	30 14	"	"
18	1883-84	Svabhanu	29 13 "	106 2 4	135 15 4	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	30 14	"	"
19	1884-85	Tarana	29 13 "	133 15 7	163 12 7	180 " "	17 7 4	3 14 2	18 " "	" " "	30 14	"	"
20	1885-86	Parthiva	29 13 "	104 2 7	133 15 7	180 " "	17 7 4	6 12 7	18 " "	" " "	30 14	"	"
21	1886-87	Vyaya	" " "	119 10 "	119 10 "	180 " "	17 7 4	5 13 1	18 " "	" " "	30 14	58 14 7	"
22	1887-88	Sarvajichu	" " "	119 10 "	119 10 "	180 " "	14 8 10	4 13 7	13 13 1	" " "	30 14	" " "	"
23	1888-89	Sarvadhari	" " "	" " "	" " "	60 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
			477 " "	1483 4 7	1960 4 7	2388 4 7	208 1 2	63 " 4	229 13 1	" 10 5	455 15 8	58 14 7	404 6

Page from m.p. No 767 to October 1888-89.

Amount credited to account to which charged	Additions	Total expenditure	Amount of account collected	Balance in deposit	Remarks
		"	38 11 6		
		16 15 8	347 14 9		
		16 " "	347 14 9		
4 1015 10		1435 7 2	874 8 11		
461 10		557 7 3	881 14 8		
963 13 6		1063 3 8	1095 15 3		
		98 14 2	958 7 4		
718 3 6		817 1 8	957 4 10		
		98 14 2	950 6 6		
		287 12 10	963 5 9		
		315 4 10	979 3 "		
1230 6 5	1 1 7	1551 10 7	979 3 5		
	1 8 "	321 10 7	1013 " 2		
261 6 4	1 8 "	636 2 1	1021 1 2		
	1 8 "	389 9 9	1056 10 8		
	1 8 "	389 9 9	1012 7 9		
	1 8 "	389 9 9	1053 9 "		
	1 8 "	389 9 9	996 1 5		
1116 4 10	1 8 "	1531 12 11	991 5 4		
4429 9 10	1 8 "	5318 3 4	933 15 3		
	1 8 "	432 3 "	868 2 3		
	1 4 "	364 15 6	1125 7 1		
	" " "	60 " "	" " "		
10695 14 1	15 13 7	16482 2 5	19,448 10 9	2964 8 4	

P. Lorimer & Co.
Ins. Agts.

Showing the names of Vrittidars of Inam Villages
in Kunigal Taluk Tumkur District Sub Division as per Cir

Name of Village	No	Names of Vrittidars
Sri Ranga Basavanhalli	1	Mysore Subbashastry
	2	do Ramashastry
	3	do Krishnashastry
do Madduru Kodi	1	Bangalore Narsimiangar
	2	Mysore Seetaramiah
	3	do Venkat Subbaya
	4	do Venkat Ramiah
	5	do Venkatesha Bhatta
	6	do Soorsurma Bhatta
	7	do Narsimiah
	8	do Linganiah
	9	do Hommaiah
	10	do Venkatsubbaya
	11	do Ramayya
	12	do Subbashastry
	13	do Soornarayanashastry
	14	Manager of Arkulpadu Prasanna Kanyaka Devayya temple
	15	Bangalore Krishnamurti Rao
	16	Nagamangala Srinivasiangar
	17	Shamiangar
	18	Mysore Ramachar
	19	Vasudevachar

...the endowment to Devamba Agrahar in the ... Register received from the Inam Department.

Name of Village	No	Names of Vrittidars.
...Halli	1	AK Kilebbal Narsimiah
	2	Chikkaniah alias Timmapiah
	3	Mysore Venkatdarnabhatta
	4	do Sugambhata
	5	Kittur Singriangar
	6	Sheshisangar
Kiranenahalli	1	Mysore Ramabhata
	2	Narnabhata
	3	Nanjangudu Subbavadhani
	4	Chendrashekhara Shastry
	5	Manager of Bandhole Srikanteswara
...achavalalu	1	Narsipur Dodmane Gundappa
	2	Mysore Lakshampanditaru
	3	Bamara sapanditaru
	4	Sripatipanditaru
	5	Mysore Shantapanditaru
	6	do Jagathpalpanditaru
	7	Dharma Sambrappa panditaru
	8	Sudharma panditaru
	9	Shantapanditaru
	10	Mysore Jagathpala panditaru
...ranenahalli	1	Mysore Ananta Ramiah

	20	Saligram Aralikatte Sub Rao
	21	Mysore Parvataman ah
	22	Mysore Vaijnabashastry
Yachenahalli	1	Mysore Lakshmi Narsimhashastry
	2	do Gangadharashastry
	3	do Krishnashastry
	4	do Ramashastry
	5	Chikballapur Ramapandita
	6	Appashan Pandit
	7	Subbapandit
	8	Alur Achamahshastry
	9	Mysore Kapanipatishastry
	10	do Dasachar
	11	do Alamelamah
	12	Srirangapatna Singjangar
	13	Tirumkudlu Lakshmi Narkteshabhatta
	14	Mysore Vedanta Ramanujachar
	15	Nariapur Kumbakone Subbanachar
	16	Jede Samudra Ramanah
	17	Mysore Ramashastry
	18	Melkote Singamah
	19	Singamah
	20	Venkatamah
	21	Mysore Srinivasjois
	22	do Krishnajois
	23	do Rama Krishnachar
Viramanya Mahalli	1	Mysore Giri Bhatta
	2	Singasi Mahish
Dadadahalli	1	Sri Ramashastry

	2	Mallenahalli Ramacharu
	3	Swamy Rayacharu
	4	Moor Ayacharu
	5	Manager of Bandhole Srikanteswara
Sandesi	1	Narsipur Dodmane Gundapa
	2	Mysore Lakshmanpanditaru
	3	Bamarasapanditaru
	4	Sripatipanditaru
	5	Shantapanditaru
	6	Jagathpalapanditaru
	7	Dharmasambrayyapanditaru
	8	Sudharmapalapanditaru
	9	Shantapanditaru
	10	Jagathpalapanditaru
Bandhole	1	Bandhole Venkatappa
	2	Subbaiah
	3	Narsimiah
	4	Narayan Rao
	5	Chondiah
	6	Narsingh Rao
	7	Ramaswamy
	8	Narsipur Srikantiah
	9	Magadi Sub Rao
	10	Mysore Naraimaswamy
	11	Garlapurishastri
	12	Bengaluru Savitramah
	13	Mysore Srirangachar
	14	Bangalore Subbashastri alias Sub Rao
	15	Narsipur Guru Rajacharu

Bandhole continued	16	Mysore Venkat Ramanah
	17	Manager of Bandhole Srikanteswara
	18	Bangalore Ananta Ramiah
	19	Ramakrishnaya
	20	Mysore Venkat Subbiah
	21	Kondbitte Subbabhatta
	22	Narsipur Kumbakone Subbanachar
	23	Venkobachar
	24	Tumkur Seetaramiah
Jedageshalli	1	Bangalore Narsimangar
	2	Mysore Seetaramiah
	3	do Venkatsubiah
	4	do Venkat Ramiah
	5	do Venkateshabhatta
	6	do Soornarappa
	7	do Narsimiah
	8	do Linganiah
	9	do Hommaiah
	10	do Venkatsubbaya
	11	do Ramajoyisa

Sedagenhalli continued	12	Mysore Subbashastry
	13	Manager of Arkulgudu Prasana Narajunda Swamy Temple
	14	Mysore Soornarain Shastry
	15	Bangalore Krishna Murti Rao
	16	Nagamangla Srinivasiangar
	17	Shamiangar
	18	Mysore Shamachar
	19	Vasudevachar
	20	Aralekatte Subbao
	21	Mysore Parvatamah
	22	do Narjundashastri
	23	do Lakshmi Narsimhashastry
	24	do Gangadharshastry
	25	do Krishnashastry
	26	do Ramashastri
	27	Chikballapur Ramapanditam
	28	Appashami Panditam
	29	Subbapanditam
	30	Alur Achamashastry
	31	Mysore Kapanipatishastry
	32	do Dasacharu
	33	do Alamelamah
	34	do Vedanta Ramanujacharu
	35	Srirangapatna Singriangar
	36	Lakshmi Venkateshubhatru
	37	Kumbakone Subbaachar

38	Ramanah
39	Mysore Ramashastry
40	Melkote Singamah
41	Sangamah
42	Venkatamah
43	Srinivasjoisaru
44	Krishnajoisaru
45	Ramakrishnachaur

Shanmukha Murty
Sub Division Officer
Tiptur Taluk

(General Serial No. 80.)

Section L R 1889.

File No From 48-88

Serial No 54

To The ~~Superintending~~ Chief Engineer.

Dated 15th November 89.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6321

No. 91

Sir,

I am directed by the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, to request that a statement shewing the actual amount spent yearly on the repairs of the Kemagiri Channel on the Attikuppa Tanks from the year 1867-68 to 1887-88 may be forwarded to this office at an early date.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

By Order,

Under Secretary.

Drafted on Ch: Engr's orders.

A.M.

General Serial No. 28

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE 16 DEC 89 7196

SECRETARY'S OFFICE MYSORE P. W. DEPT. REGD. NO. 6072 18 DEC 89 1889

No. 3027 8/14 12/12 Case 73

Forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Dewan, with reference to his No: 6321-91 of 15th ultimo.—

From The Examiner Public Works of Mysore

To The Chief Engineer of Mysore

C. Umesa Hebbale, Chief Engineer, Mysore.

Dated 13th December

Despatched

Received

General No. 3170 } 1889
No.

Enclosures—Number. 2

Rupees 16/12

In compliance with Chief Engineer's No 4779 of the 18th ultimo (case herewith returned) I have the honor to forward the statement called for and beg to state

that as references for the last 20 years had to be sought for, it could not be prepared earlier.

A. D. McMichael
Examiner Rly
Jodhpore

This sum would seem to include the contributions paid by the Inamdars of Harihanpur, Bommanahalli and Lakshmipura, whose lands are irrigated by the Hemavati Channel.

The amount in deposit is Rs 2964-8-4. The number of the villidars of Yachamanahalli is 23. The village was surveyed by the Revenue Survey Department in the year 1886-87.

Subd to the Dewan
with a draft order
for approval
[illegible]

Submitted for orders.

MK
13/1/90

The D.P.W. Secretary suggests that the Hemagiri Channel should be handed over to the Irrigation, on the ground that nearly all the lands under it are Irrigation.

The following statement shows the demand, collection, and balance of Pachaima rubbi from the year 1866-67 to 1887-88 :-

1866-67a

25

	Demand.	Collection	Outstanding or balance
	Rs. a. ps.	Rs. a. ps.	
1866-67	38-11-6	38-11-6	
1867-68	347-14-9	347-14-9	
1868-69	347-14-9	347-14-9	
1869-70	874-8-11	874-8-11	
1870-71	885-11-1	881-14-8	3-12-5
1871-72	1120-9-9	1095-15-3	24-10-6
1872-73	965-1-4	958-7-4	6-10-
1873-74	969-6-2	957-4-10	12-1-4
1874-75	959-9-2	950-6-6	9-2-8
1875-76	999-12-7	963-8-9	36-6-10
1876-77	1031-1-2	979-3-4	51-14-2
1877-78	1031-1-7	979-3-5	51-14-2
1878-79	1064-14-4	1013-4-2	51-14-2
1879-80	1074-7-7	1021-1-2	53-6-5
1880-81	143-1-1	1056-10-8	56-6-5
1881-82	1079-10-9	1012-7-9	67-3-4
1882-83	1080-4-4	1053-4-4	26-7-
1883-84	1046-10-9	996-1-5	50-9-4
1884-85	1046-10-9	991-5-4	55-5-4
1885-86	1048-15-	933-15-3	114-15-9
1886-87	1048-15-	868-2-3	180-12-9
1887-88	2022-3-6	1125-7-1	896-12-5
	21,196-15-6	19,446-10-9	1750-4-9

The amount set apart for the repair of the Channel was as follows:-

	Rs
1869-70	1015-- 10
1870-71	461- -10
1871-72	963-10-6
1873-74	718-3-6
1877-78	1230-6-5
1879-80	261-6-4
1884-85	1116-4-10
1885-86	4929-9-10
	10695-14-1

But the amount actually spent on the repair of the Channel was as shewn in the subjoined Statement.

Statement shewing the actual amount spent on Repairs of the Hemagiri Channel from 1867-68 to 1887-88.

Years	Outlay		
1867-68	"	"	"
1868-69	"	"	"
1869-70	3,467	12	6
1870-71	"	"	"
1871-72	1,944	5	9
1872-73	583	"	"
1873-74	725	6	8
1874-75	479	1	8
1875-76	1,282	15	"
1876-77	763	11	6
1877-78	949	7	10
1878-79	477	7	9
1879-80	459	13	11
1880-81	643	9	5
1881-82	1,750	11	10
1882-83	784	15	4
1883-84	2,113	2	11
1884-85	642	2	5
1885-86	976	2	3
1886-87	886	6	2
1887-88	790	3	9
Total	19,753	8	8

1876-14-9
1876-9-11

Exam[r]'s Office
Bangalore 13th Dec[r] 89.

[illegible]

[illegible]
Examiner P.W. Accts

SECRETARY'S OFFICE
REG'D. NO. 2072
13 DEC. 89
MYSORE P. W. DEPT.

Serial 5

Section C R
File No 4288a81
Serial No 5
Encumbrance

e 1

Docket from the Dy of Mr Sore, dated 26th October 1889.

No: 3793-3802
Cir 67

017. 7—90

Order thereon:

Print

In 1888, the Public Works Department, suggested the transfer of the upkeep of Hanagiri channel to the landowners whose lands were irrigated under it, as 98 per cent of the area irrigated under the channel belonged to them. The Government declined to accede to the suggestion because, this withdrawal from the management of the channel might lead

to the direction to any
possible future extension
of the channel, and, because
there was not much difficu
culty in collecting the
necessary contributions
from the Inamdars concerned.
There are only 10. Inam Villages
named in the margin, which
are irrigated by the channel,
of which first 7 belong
to the same Inamdars. In
order to facilitate the
collection of the contribution
payable by the holders of
these villages, Yachamana
halli was placed under
Government management
so long ago as 1867 and

1. Bonhalli
2. Thermen halli
3. Karmen halli
4. Melhalli
5. Yachena halli
6. Madavanhodi
7. Yachamanhalli
8. Hanhar pura
9. Bommena halli
10. Lakshmipura.

2.

it still continues under its Government management.

The contributions payable by the villagers are received from the collections of Yachamanahalli and the Inamdars of other villages pay their contributions seperately.

2. While declining the transfer of the management of the channel to the Inamdars, the Government called upon the D/ Commr to report upon the administration of Yachamanahalli, and the docket read above is in reply.

31

3. The report shows that, out of the total demand of Rs. 21,196 from 1866-67 to 1887-88, Rs. 19,447- were collected leaving a balance of Rs. 1750, of which Rs. 256 are stated to be irrecoverable, and notices and coercive processes are issued for the remainder. The arrears are old.

4. belonging to years commencing from 1869-70. They should either be collected or recommended for remission (submitted as irrecoverable).

5. Of the total —

* 1869-70 — Rs. 04
70-71 — " 25
72-73 — " 7
73-74 — " 12
74-75 — " 9
75-76 — " 36
76-77 — " 52
77-78 — " 52
78-79 — " 52
79-80 — " 53
80-81 — " 56
81-82 — " 67
82-83 — " 26
83-84 — " 51
84-85 — " 55
85-86 — " 115
86-87 — " 181
87-88 — " 897

Rs. 1750

Revenue of Rs 19,446 ~~Realized~~ 32
ed, Rs 10,695 * were paid
for repairs of the channels
and Rs 5,786 ⊗ were spent
for other purposes noted
in the margin, leaving
a balance of Rs 2,964 on
hand at the end of
1887-88. It is not stated
on what authority a sum
~~pay~~ of Rs 404 was
~~made~~ to the Juandars.
This should be ~~stated~~ stated
and no payment made
to the Juandars ~~in future~~
without sanction of Govern
-ment. The Chief Engineer
will be requested to state
how much of the sum of
Rs 10,695 contributed
for repairs, has been

---

* 1869-70 Rs 1015-a-10
70-71 " 461-"-10
71-72 " 963-13-6
73-74 " 718-3-6
77-78 " 1230-6-5
79-80 " 261-6-4
84-85 " 1116-4-10
85-86 " 4929-9-10
Rs 10695-14-1

⊗ Government dues Rs 1,960
Pay of the Agent " 2389
Do — Shanbogy — " 208
Do — Patail — " 63
Do — Talyari — 230
Sayer allowance — 1
Car festival — 456
Cost of Survey — 59
paid to Juandars — 404
Sadil War — " 16
Total Rs 5,786

actually expended

and how much, if any,

[redacted]ill remains on hand.

5. The Government

observe that no annual

statement of receipts

and Expenditure has,

hitherto, forwarded to this

office although this was

directed to be done in

the omission should

be guarded against

in future.

6. The management

of Imam villages is

an important trust

and the Dy Commr

should take care

that the revenue [redacted] settled on the same principles as those adopted in the management of Government villages. The receipts should be credited to "Private Estates under Government management" and no expenditure other than ordinary should be incurred without the sanction of Government. In the annual administration report a paragraph should be devoted to the management of the private estates.

[redacted] Secretary

17.7.19

7/7

To
The Dy Comm Mysore Dt
" [illegible] Dt
" [illegible] Dt
[illegible]

9
V-73

1870

Revenue



Received 18

Revised

17/2/70

Regarding the tramp
~~the~~ ~~Ch~~ Cook of the Kemagiri
~~Inamdar to Kestanambaram~~
~~door~~ Reserving the manage
ment of the Yachamanballi
Inam village in the Attikuppa
Taluk, the lands of wh are
irrigated by the Kemagiri Chan

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 17th July 1890.

READ—

• Docket from the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, dated 26th October 1889.

No. 3793-3802—CIR. 67, DATED 17TH JULY 1890.

ORDER THEREON.—In 1888, the Public Works Department suggested the transfer of the up-keep of Hemagiri Channel to the inamdars whose lands were irrigated under it, as 98 per cent of the area irrigated under the channel belonged to them. The Government declined to accede to the suggestion, because this withdrawal from the management of the channel might lead to obstruction to any possible future extension of the channel, and, because there was not much difficulty in collecting the necessary contributions from the inamdars concerned. There are only 10 Inam villages, named in the margin, which are irrigated by the channel, of which the first seven belonged to the same inamdars. In order to facilitate the collection of the contribution payable by the holders of these villages, Yachamanahalli was placed under Government management so long ago as 1867 and it still continues under its management. The contributions payable by the said seven villages are received from the collections of Yachamanahalli and the inamdars of the other three villages pay their contributions separately.

1. Benhalli.
2. Thernenhalli.
3. Karnenhalli.
4. Melhalli.
5. Yachenahalli.
6. Madavankodi.
7. Yachamanahalli.
8. Hariharpura.
9. Bommenahalli.
10. Lakshmipura.

2. While declining the transfer of the management of the channel to the inamdars, the Government called upon the Deputy Commissioner to report upon the administration of Yachamanahalli, and the docket read above is in reply.

3. The report shows that, out of the total demand of Rs. 21,196 from 1866—67 to 1887—88, Rs. 19,447 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. *1,750, of which Rs. 256 are stated to be irrecoverable, and notices and coercive processes have been issued for the remainder. The arrears are old, relating to years commencing from 1869—70. They should either be collected or, if irrecoverable, recommended to be remitted.

	Rs.
*1869—70	4
1870—71	25
1872—73	7
1873—74	12
1874—75	9
1875—76	36
1876—77	52
1877—78	52
1878—79	52
1879—80	53
1880—81	56
1881—82	67
1882—83	26
1883—84	51
1884—85	55
1885—86	115
1886—87	181
1887—88	897
	1,750

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 17th July 1890.

4. Of the total revenue of Rs. 19,446 realized, *Rs. 10,695 were paid for repairs of the channels and †Rs. 5,786 were spent for other purposes noted in the margin, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,964 on hand at the end of 1887—88. It is not stated on what authority a sum of Rs. 404 was paid to the inamdars. This should be explained and no payment should in future be made to the inamdars without the sanction of Government. The Chief Engineer will be requested to state how much of the sum of Rs. 10,695 contributed for repairs has been actually expended and how much, if any, still remains on hand.

	Rs.	A.	P.
*1869—70 ...	1,015	0	10
1870—71 ...	461	0	10
1871—72 ...	963	13	6
1873—74 ...	718	3	6
1877—78 ...	1,230	6	5
1879—80 ...	261	6	4
1884—85 ...	1,116	4	10
1885—86 ...	4,929	9	10
	10,695	14	1

	Rs.
† Government dues ...	1,960
Pay of the Agent ...	2,389
Do Shanbhog ...	208
Do Patel ...	63
Do Talari ...	230
Sayer allowance ...	1
Car festival ...	456
Cost of Survey ...	59
Paid to Inamdars ...	404
Sadilwar ...	16
Total.....	5,786

5. The Government observe that no annual statement of receipts and expenditure was, hitherto, forwarded to this Office although this was directed to be done. The omission should be guarded against in future.

6. The management of Inam villages is an important trust and the Deputy Commissioner should take care that the revenue is settled on the same principles as those adopted in the management of Government villages. The receipts should be credited to "Private Estates under Government management" and no expenditure other than ordinary should be incurred without the sanction of Government. In the annual administration report, a paragraph should be devoted to the management of the private estates.

A. SRINIVASACHARLU,
Under-Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.
The Comptroller.
The other Deputy Commissioners for information.